

Medical Informatics in the Digital Personalized Health and Medicine Era: A SWOT Analysis and Actionable Strategies

Rada HUSSEIN^{a,1}

^aLudwig Boltzmann Institute for Digital Health and Prevention, Austria

Abstract. With the rapid proliferation of digital health technologies, the role of medical informatics in advancing personalized healthcare and wellbeing is emphasized. To examine the readiness of medical informatics for fostering digital health moving forward, a Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats (SWOT) analysis was performed. As a result, actionable strategies have been identified to maximize the benefits of medical informatics and overcoming the faced challenges in the digital health era.

Keywords. Digital health, medical informatics, strategic planning, SWOT

1. Introduction

The movement from "eHealth" to "Digital Health" emphasizes on providing people-centred and integrated health services utilizing the technological advances, such as mobile health (mHealth), Internet of Things (IoTs), Artificial Intelligence (AI), big data analytics, cloud computing, blockchain, etc. [1].

Medical Informatics or Biomedical and Health Informatics (BMHI) has become an established scientific-multidisciplinary discipline involving computer, cognitive and social sciences [2]. BMHI utilizes health information technologies to provide the optimal use of information for improving individual health, healthcare, public health and biomedical research.

The goal of this paper is to analyze the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats (SWOT) of the current status of the BMHI field. Consequently, actionable strategies are identified to leverage the anticipated potential of BMHI for the Digital Health (DH) era.

2. SWOT analysis and actionable strategies

SWOT analysis is one of the world's most widely used methods for strategic planning [3]. This paper uses this instrument to match the strengths and weaknesses of the BMHI field with opportunities and threats of DH. The SWOT analysis has been performed

¹ Corresponding Author, Rada Hussein, E-mail: rada.hussein@dhp.lbg.ac.at.

based on the review of literature, industry reports and initiatives of the global health agencies.

To identify the potential tactical strategies for maximizing the benefits of BMHI for the DH era, an extended "variant" version of the SWOT-named the Threats, Opportunities, Weaknesses and Strengths (TOWS) analysis was conducted [3]. Figure 1 depicts how to develop actionable strategies through the TOWS analysis.



Figure 1 TOWS analysis and actionable strategies

3. Medical informatics strengths and limitations

The BMHI domain has a broad scope with the full range of knowledge (research) and practice (applications) [4] covering several sub-disciplines, mainly: bioinformatics, imaging informatics, clinical informatics and public health informatics. Additionally, there are two rapidly growing domains within the BMHI field, namely: 1) Consumer Health Informatics (CHI) that addresses consumers' articulated needs with managing their health concerns [4,5], and 2) Global Health Informatics (GHI) that focuses on empowering people to use appropriate technology, aligning with a global perspective of healthcare provision to all [6].

The main limitations of scaling up the eHealth initiatives and reaching the desired impact are [6]: 1) limited interoperability with legacy systems at national scale, 2) lack of evidence regarding the eHealth impact on health system performance and individual health, 3) lack of regulatory framework concerning data privacy and security, and 4) the insufficient use of large sets of data, i.e., converting data into health intelligence. On the other hand, the main barrier that hinders CHI [4,5] is the misalignment between the used technology and the users' socio-technical environment. This can significantly impact the technology utilization, endorsement by healthcare providers and users' empowerment.

4. Digital personalized health and medicine opportunities and challenges

The DH domain is considered as a key enabler of the personalized, preventive, predictive, participative, and precision (5P) medicine through leveraging the vision of providing equitable and person-centred care [5]. Consequently, DH has been attracting great interest of all stakeholders, including academic researchers, consumers, global health agencies, healthcare industry, policymakers and others. Recent studies covered different aspects of the DH domain, for example, industry and academic reviews of the DH technical trends [1], the World Health Organization (WHO) 's practical guide for monitoring and evaluating digital health interventions as well as the draft version of the global strategy on digital health 2020-2024 [7], Stanford medicine's

report on the democratization of healthcare [8], Johns Hopkins' roadmap for digital health validation [9], and a framework for assessing the impact of connected health services [10]. At the regulatory level, the Integrating Healthcare Enterprise (IHE)-Europe published its perspective in understanding and adopting the General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR) [11]. Additionally, a vision of how the GDPR supports healthcare transformation is described in [12].

Nevertheless, the main challenge facing the DH market [5,13] is that it is like "wild west" because most of the applications are neither based on the evidence of effectiveness nor adopting existing technical standards. Furthermore, most of the DH applications are mainly technology-driven as "one-size fits all" that may lead to harmful use. At the integration level [5,13], there is a lack of interoperability between user-generated data and health records in addition to an inadequate or fragmented legal frameworks, especially in the area of privacy and data security. Moreover, there is a mandate to address the DH from the socio-technical and organizational perspectives [1] in the context of change management, user engagement, information governance, workforce capacity, citizen empowerment, regulations, business models, etc.

5. Medical Informatics and digital health TOWS analysis

Table 1 summarizes the results of the SWOT analysis and their translation into TOWS analysis and actionable strategies.

6. Medical Informatics and digital health actionable strategies

As listed in Table 1, the actionable strategies can be categorized as follows:

The SO actionable strategies aim to *shape the BMHI research and education* for the DH era utilizing the advances in science and technology. This also matches with the proposed research agenda described in [2].

The WO actionable strategies aim to *build interdisciplinary partnerships and collaborations* to tackle the pressing challenges in aligning the BMHI field with future trends in medicine and DH technologies.

The ST actionable strategies aim to *leverage the role of the BMHI associations in building the ecosystem for DH connected health* with all involved stakeholders, including researchers, consumers, global health agencies, DH industry, standard development organizations, policymakers and others.

The WT actionable strategies aim to *roadmap an integrated future for BMHI and DH* at technical, environmental and organizational levels. This requires interdisciplinary teamwork of healthcare providers, informaticians, data scientists, systems developers, consumers, and experts in behavioural science, law, human-computer interaction, business modelling, and change management.

Table 1. BMHI and DH TOWS analysis and corresponding actionable strategies

	BMHI Strengths (S) S1 Breadth and diversity of BMHI subcategories S2 Wide scale of BMHI methods, tools and applications S3 Consumer Health Informatics articulates the user-centric approach S4 Global Health Informatics focuses on improving healthcare systems and outcomes	BMHI Weaknesses (W) W1 Too many overlapping standards hinder interoperability W2 misalignment between the used technology and the "real world" socio-technical environment W3 Limited large-scale evidence W4 Limited convergence of data into health intelligence at national and global scales
DH Opportunities (O) O1 Wide scale of technological innovation in big data analytics, cloud computing, AI, wearable devices, IoT, blockchain, etc. O2 Future trends in medicine: personalized, pervasive, predictive, preventive, participatory, precise, preemptive, and patient-centralized (8P medicine) O3 The WHO global health initiatives for digital health, universal health coverage, democratization of data, evidence for all, sustainable development goals, etc. O4 Great interest of the BMHI community (academia, industry and associations) worldwide in digital healthcare transformation	SO actionable strategies: SO1 Strengthen the BMHI discipline with new trends in medicine and technology (S1, O1, O2) SO2 Establish strong partnerships for international collaborative research programs and initiatives (S1-S4, O1-O4) SO3 Align the BMHI education and research programs with the WHO global health initiatives (S3, S4, O3) SO4 Leverage the strengths of the BMHI national and international associations in developing and updating the BMHI competencies frameworks (in regular basis)- that are intended for curriculum development, accreditation and workforce certification (S1, O4)	WO actionable strategies: WO1 Take the initiative (by the international standards organizations) to coordinate their efforts in improving the existing BMHI standards and resolving the interoperability gaps (W1, O1, O2, O4) WO2 Adopt socio-technical systems theory to support a better understanding of end-user performance (W2, O1, O2, O4) WO3 Adopt the WHO guidelines on DH interventions and corresponding frameworks for DH monitoring and evaluation (W3, O1-O4) WO4 Establish international scale research programs (that adopt new methodological or technical approaches) to advance interdisciplinary understanding (W4, O1-O4)
DH Threats (T): T1 Rapid pace of technology-driven applications (as one size fits all) T2 Lack of international regulatory frameworks for DH testing, validation, safety, and data privacy and security T3 Lack of interoperability standards for user-generated data T4 Low acceptance and adoption of DH	ST actionable strategies: <u>Leverage the role of the BMHI associations to:</u> ST1 Engage all stakeholders in designing national DH connected health services and the corresponding consumers' empowerment programs (S1, S2, T1, T4) ST2 Establish multidisciplinary taskforce to validate the current initiatives of DH regulatory frameworks in addition to technical working-groups to resolve the interoperability gaps with standards development organizations (S1-S4, T2, T3) ST3 Develop a specialized competencies framework for implementing and monitoring the DH regulatory framework (S1,T2) ST4 Develop a collaborative online platform for sharing best practices and lessons learned in overcoming the DH challenges across the associations' members (S1-S4, T2, T3, T4)	WT actionable strategies: <i>Overcome weaknesses by making them strengths (move toward SO strategy)</i> <u>Leverage the role of the BMHI associations to:</u> WT1 Develop a specialized competencies framework for DH open data and science (W1, W3, W4, T1, T3) WT2 Build a multidimensional collaborative team to develop a roadmap for interdisciplinary understanding of the DH socio-technical and organizational environment (W2, T2, T4) WT3 Develop a collaborative online platform for open innovation to tackle the DH challenges (W3, W4, T1, T4) WT4 Utilize change management concepts to 1) tackle the unpredictable and complex interactions between the people and DH technology, 2) strengthen user engagement (W2, T2, T4)

7. Conclusion

Medical informatics is expected to play a pivotal role in the transformation of digital "personalized" healthcare and medicine. To achieve this goal, the described actionable strategies support paving the way of the BMHI field towards the DH era, through 1) enriching the BMHI research and education agenda with emerging DH technologies, WHO initiatives and medicine trends, 2) strengthening the role of the BMHI associations in building the ecosystem of digitally connected health, and 3) road-mapping an integrated interdisciplinary future of BMHI and DH at socio-technical and organizational levels. Thus, realizing the BMHI aim in advancing science and improving healthcare outcomes.

References

- [1] L. Rooney, S. Rimpiläinen, C. Morrison, and S.L. Nielsen, *Review of Emerging Trends in Digital Health and Care: A report by the Digital Health and Care Institute*, Digital Health & Care Institute, Glasgow, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.17868/67860>.
- [2] R. Haux, C. Kulikowski, S. Bakken, et al., Research Strategies for Biomedical and Health Informatics: Some Thought-provoking and Critical Proposals to Encourage Scientific Debate on the Nature of Good Research in Medical Informatics, *Methods of Information in Medicine*. **56** (2017), e1–e10. doi:10.3414/ME16-01-0125.
- [3] T. Sammut-Bonnici, and D. Galea, SWOT Analysis, in: *C.L. Cooper (Ed.), Wiley Encyclopedia of Management*, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, UK, 2015. doi:10.1002/9781118785317.wcom120103.
- [4] A. Faiola, and R.J. Holden, Consumer Health Informatics: Empowering Healthy-Living-Seekers Through mHealth, *Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases*. **59** (2017), 479–486. doi:10.1016/j.pcad.2016.12.006.
- [5] M. Edmunds, C. Hass, and E. Holve, eds., *Consumer Informatics and Digital Health: Solutions for Health and Health Care*, Springer International Publishing, 2019.
- [6] L.A.G. Celi, H.S.F. Fraser, V. Nikore, J.S. Osorio, and K. Paik, eds., *Global health informatics: principles of ehealth and mhealth to improve quality of care*, The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 2017.
- [7] *WHO Digital health*, (n.d.), <https://www.who.int/westernpacific/health-topics/digital-health> (accessed October 11, 2019).
- [8] A.B. Bach, *Stanford Medicine Health Trends Report examines opportunity to democratize health care, Scope*, <https://scopeblog.stanford.edu/2018/12/13/stanford-medicine-health-trends-report-examines-opportunity-to-democratize-health-care/>, 2018.
- [9] S.C. Mathews, M.J. McShea, C.L. Hanley, A. Ravitz, A.B. Labrique, and A.B. Cohen, Digital health: a path to validation, *Npj Digital Medicine*. **2** (2019), 38. doi:10.1038/s41746-019-0111-3.
- [10] I. Chouvarda, C. Maramis, K. Livitckaia, V. Trajkovik, S. Burmaoglu, et al., Connected Health Services: Framework for an Impact Assessment, *J Med Internet Res*. **21** (2019). doi:10.2196/14005.
- [11] *IHE Perspective on the European Union GDPR | IHE Europe*, (n.d.), <https://ihe-europe.net/node/186> (accessed October 11, 2019).
- [12] B. Bernd and R. Pekka, How Does GDPR Support Healthcare Transformation to 5P Medicine?, *Studies in Health Technology and Informatics* (2019), 1135–1139. doi:10.3233/SHTI190403.
- [13] K. Huckvale, C.J. Wang, A. Majeed, and J. Car, Digital health at fifteen: more human (more needed), *BMC Med*. **17** (2019), 62. doi:10.1186/s12916-019-1302-0.