MEDINFO 2019: Health and Wellbeing e-Networks for All L. Ohno-Machado and B. Séroussi (Eds.) © 2019 International Medical Informatics Association (IMIA) and IOS Press. This article is published online with Open Access by IOS Press and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License 4.0 (CC BY-NC 4.0). doi:10.3233/SHT1190734

Current Status and Trends in Health Informatics Research: A Bibliometric Analysis by Health Technology and Informatics

Siru Liu^a, Jialin Liu^{b,c}, Tao Zheng^c

^a Department of Biomedical Informatics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, USA
^b Department of Medical Informatics, West China Medical School, Sichuan University, China
^c Information Center, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

Abstract

The aim of this study was to understand status and trends in health/medical informatics research. We used the Scopus database to extract all papers published in Studies in Health Technology and Informatics from 2008 to 2017. This study presented the key bibliometric indicators such as annual publications, top 10 authors, institutions, countries, and cooccurrence of keywords. These findings can be used to enhance our understanding of health/medical informatics research.

Keywords:

Health informatics, Medical informatics, Research, Bibliometrics

Introduction

The Studies in Health Technology and Informatics is a book series published by IOS Press. "This book series was started in 1990 to promote research conducted under the auspices of the EC programmes' Advanced Informatics in Medicine (AIM) and Biomedical and Health Research (BHR) bioengineering branch" [1]. It presents the proceedings of many international health/medical informatics conferences (e.g. MedInfo). The book series "has developed into a highly visible global platform for the dissemination of original research in this field, containing more than 250 volumes of high-quality works from all over the world" [2]. Our study aimed to provide a macroscopic overview of the main characteristics of the book series based on a bibliometric analysis and visualization map. It will bring a panoramic view of health/medical informatics research to scholars and help them to identify suitable researching orientation as well.

Methods

A literature search was conducted in Scopus for publications from January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2017, and used the following publication name: "Studies in Health Technology and Informatics". All data were collected by two authors and downloaded in text format.

After that, the records including all essential information (such as paper title, abstract, keywords, authors' names, affiliations, and references) were compiled for further analysis. Data extraction and analysis were performed using Microsoft Excel 2016. VOSviewer (Leiden University, Netherlands), a software tool for constructing and visualizing bibliometric networks, was used to construct a knowledge map of all keywords relations.

Results

Of the 8129 articles retrieved, the majority were conference papers (n = 7227, 88.90%), articles (n = 682, 8.39%), editorials (n=123, 1.51%), reviews (n = 59, 0.73%) and conference reviews (n=38, 0.47%). They were all published in English. Subject areas included medicine (33.4%), engineering (33.3%) and health professions (33.3%). Figure 1 shows the annual distribution of publications from 2008-2017.



Figure 1 Annual distribution of publications

Institutions and Countries

The publications of Studies in Health Technology and Informatics originate from 111 different countries/regions and 14374 institutions/organizations. The top 10 productive institutions and countries are shown in Table 1. The greatest amount of research activity contribution in countries was from the United States (n=1592, 19.6%), followed by Germany (n = 818, 10.1%) and Canada (n = 660, 8.1%). The University of Victoria was the most productive institution (n = 284, 3.5%), followed by Inserm (n = 215, 2.6%), and Aalborg University (n= 155, 1.9%).

Contributing Authors

17476 authors contributed the 8129 papers. The top 10 most prolific authors in the book series, with their number of publications, are shown in Table 2. Borycki EM clearly obtains the first position with 95 (1.17%) articles.

Co-occurrence of author keywords analysis

The period ranging from 2008 to 2017 included 13438 keywords from 8129 articles, 1047 keywords appeared 5 or more times. The term maps show 500 keywords having the greatest total link strength (Fig 2a, 2b). It grouped 12 clusters. The most common keywords are "electronic health record/records", "virtual reality", "telemedicine", "ehealth" and "patient safety".

3.6%

No.	Institution	Record	Country	Record
1	Univ of Victoria	284	USA	1592
	(Canada)	3.5%		19.6%
2	Inserm	215	Germany	818
	(France)	2.6%		10.1%
3	Aalborg Univ	155	Canada	660
	(Denmark)	1.9%		8.1%
4	Univ of Athens	110	UK	560
	(Greece)	1.4%		6.9%
5	UiT The Arctic Univ of	83	Australia	490
	Norway (Norway)	1.0%		6.0%
6	Acad Med Cent, Univ.of	81	France	448
	Amsterdam (Netherlands)	1.0%		5.5%
7	Univ Cattolica del Sacro	79	Italy	367
	Cuore (Italy)	1.0%		4.5%
8	Univ of Amsterdam	77	Japan	325
	(Netherlands)	0.9%	-	4.0%
9	Karolinska Institutet	75	Norway	295
	(Sweden)	0.9%		3.6%
10	Univ of Tasmania	74	Austria	294

Table 1 Top 10 most productive institution and countries

Table 2 Top 10 of most productive authors

(Australia)

0.9%

Authors	Record	Institution	Country
Borycki EM	95	Univ of Victoria	Canada
Kushniruk, AW	86	Univ of Victoria	Canada
Blobel B	83	Univ of Regensburg	Germany
Riva G	75	Univ Cattolica del	Italy
		Sacro Cuore	
Househ M	56	Kin Saud Bin Ab-	Saudi Arabia
		dulaziz Univ for health	
Mantas, J	52	Sci	Greece
		National and Kapodis-	
Lovis C	49	trian Univ of Athens	Switzerland
		Univ Hosp of Geneva	
Kushniruk A	44	Univ of Victoria	Canada
Nøhr, C	43	Aalborg Univ	Denmark
Saranto, K	43	Univ of Eastern Finland	Finland



Figure 2a Network visual keywords analysis



Figure 2b Overlay visualization keyword analysis

Discussion

The number of articles produced annually has obviously increased over this period (2008-2017). The number of publications has been considered a measure of scientific productivity and interest in health informatics research.

A co-occurrence analysis of authors keywords of the publications can provide insight into the main topics and research trends in health/medical informatics. In co-occurrence, the relatedness of terms is determined based on the number of publications in which two terms occur together. The result of the terms analysis is presented in Figure 2a-2b. The size of the circles represents the occurrence of a term (the bigger the circle, the higher the occurrence of a term in the author keywords). The overall distance between terms offers information on their relatedness. The shorter the distance between two terms, the stronger their relation. The relatedness of terms is determined by counting the number of times that terms occur together in the keywords [3]. The colors are used to distinguish between different clusters. The term map of overlay visualization is the timeline view of the network (Figure 2b). The color of a term indicates the term's average publication year (the terms with yellow show the times with more recent publication year). It shows chronologically the most applied keywords which are "big data", "universal design", "mhealth", "social media", "health literacy", and "vocabulary". This transformation shows researchers are increasingly interested in these research themes.

Conclusions

These outcomes help us perceive the evolution of research in the field of health/medical informatics over a 10 year period. The study also shows Studies in Health Technology and Informatics is an important global conference proceeding in digital health and health/medical informatics.

Acknowledgements

This project was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Number:71273182/G0308)

References

- [1] https://www.scimagojr.com/journalsearch.php?q=19582& tip=sid [accessed on October 21, 2018]
- [2] http://ebooks.iospress.nl/bookseries/studies-in-health-technology-and-informatics [accessed on August 20, 2018]
- [3] S.P. Rodrigues, N.J. Van Eck, L. Waltman, and F.W. Jansen, Mapping patient safety: a large-scale literature review using bibliometric visualisation techniques, *BMJ Open* 4(2014),1-8.

Address for correspondence

Dr.Jialin Liu 327 Room, 8th Teaching Building, No.37 Wai Nan Guo Xue Xiang Street. Chengdu, Sichuan, China. 610041 Telephone number: +86-28-85422306 Fax number: +86-28-85582944 Email: DLJL8@163.com