An Eddy Current Method to Evaluate Local Wall Thinning of Carbon Steel Pipe
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Abstract. This paper proposes to evaluate the local wall thinning of carbon steel pipe using an eddy current method. Firstly, the feature signals are determined by correlation analysis of the signals and the wall thinning sizes. Subsequently, the models for estimating the residual wall thickness rt is constructed using Gaussian process regression (GPR). Finally, the applicability of the models to the evaluation of local wall thinning is verified by simulation and experiment.
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1. Introduction

Carbon steel pipe is widely used in industrial equipment because of their high welding ability and low price, but the wall is thinned due to flow accelerated corrosion and liquid droplet impingement [1-2]. At present, some non-destructive testing methods have been proposed, such as eddy current testing[3-6], which is an easy to implement and fast non-destructive testing technology.

This study proposes the use of eddy current method to obtain various types of signals at different frequencies to detect local wall thinning. Then the regression model for evaluating local wall thinning is established based on the correlation analysis between signals and defects. The model can evaluate the size of defects.

2. Numerical simulation

2.1. Finite element model

Numerical simulations are performed using simulation software COMSOL Multiphysics 5.2 a+ AC / DC module. The governing equation is:

\[ \nabla \times (\nabla \times \mu^{-1}A) + (j\omega\sigma - \omega^2\varepsilon)A = J_e \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)
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Where \( \omega \) is angular frequency, \( \varepsilon \) is permittivity, \( \sigma \) is electric conductivity, \( \mu \) is magnetic permeability, \( A \) is magnetic vector potential. The conductivity and relative permeability of the pipe are 5.2 Ms/m, 160, respectively. The current density \( J_e \) of the excitation coil is \( 2.94 \times 10^5 \) A/m\(^2\). Figure 1 illustrates schematic of numerical simulation.

![Figure 1. Schematic of numerical simulation.](image)

2.2. Signal analysis

In this paper, 1Hz, 5Hz, 10Hz, 15Hz and 20Hz are used as the excitation frequency in order to evaluate the wall. Figure 2 shows the changes of normalized amplitude and phase difference along \( L_1 \) when the pipe is thinned, and the amplitude and phase of signals are abrupt and the amplitude and phase of \( B_r \) appear peaks and troughs at the defect. Therefore, the extreme value of the signal on \( L_1 \) can be extracted as features of defect.

![Figure 2. The change of signals along \( L_1 \).](image)
signals, which are denoted as $B_{z_{\text{maxi}}}$, $B_{\text{r_{maxi}}}$, $B_{\text{r_{mini}}}$, $\alpha_{\text{mini}}$, $\beta_{\text{maxi}}$, $\beta_{\text{mini}}$, respectively. The subscript maxi and mini represent the maximum or minimum value of the signal on $L_1$ at iHz.

2.3. Correlation analysis

Correlation analysis is performed to determine the corresponding estimated defect size $rt$ feature signals. Figure 3 shows that the correlation coefficients between the extreme value of the signals and the residual wall thickness $rt$ is greater than 0.75, so these extreme values can be used as the feature signals for evaluating $rt$. The arrows in the figure indicate the largest correlation coefficient in each type of signal. These signals are $B_{z_{\text{maxi}}}$, $\alpha_{\text{mini}}$, $B_{\text{r_{maxi}}}$, $\beta_{\text{mini}}$, respectively.

![Figure 3. Correlation analysis of feature signals with rt.](image)

2.4. Evaluation of residual wall thickness $rt$ by Gaussian process regression

The Gaussian process has a strict theoretical foundation of statistical learning and has good adaptability for dealing with high-dimensional nonlinear small sample data [7]. According to the previous correlation analysis, the feature signals $B_{z_{\text{maxi}}}$, $\alpha_{\text{mini}}$, $B_{\text{r_{maxi}}}$, $B_{\text{r_{mini}}}$, $\beta_{\text{maxi}}$, $\beta_{\text{mini}}$ are highly correlated with $rt$. Assume that they satisfy the relationship:

$$y = f(X) + \varepsilon$$

(2)

Where $y$ is the vector of residual wall thickness $rt$, $X$ is the feature signals matrix, $\varepsilon$ is Gaussian noise, and the posterior distribution of $f(X)$ is obtained from the data set $\{x_i, y_i\}$ by Gaussian process $y \sim \text{GP}(m(X), \kappa(X,X))$, where $m(X)$ is the mean function, $\kappa(X,X)$ is the covariance function, and the squared exponential kernel is expressed as:

$$\kappa(x_p, x_q) = \sigma_f^2 \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{x_p - x_q}{\sigma_l^2} \right)^T \left( \frac{x_p - x_q}{\sigma_l^2} \right) \right]$$

(3)

Where $\sigma_f$ is the signal standard deviation and $\sigma_l$ is the characteristic length scale.
Figure 4 shows the evaluation effect of the model when different signal combinations are used as predictors. As shown in Figure 4-(f), the RMSE=0.016, which is the smallest, the evaluated value of rt is the closest to the true value. This result corresponds to the previous correlation analysis, and the selection of signals with high correlation coefficient as predictors will improve the evaluation effect of the model.

3. Experimental verification

3.1. Experimental setup

Figure 5 presents the experiment system. The excitation coil was excited by an AC current supplied from the function generator 1 WF1973 (NF Corporation, Yokohama, Japan). A lock-in amplifier 1 LI5640 (NF Corporation, Yokohama, Japan) and a shunt resistor monitor the current and provide a reference frequency to the lock-in amplifier 2 LI5640 (NF Corporation, Yokohama, Japan). Two sensor arrays, each consisting of ten Magneto-Impedance sensors, measure magnetic fields. The signals are measured by the lock-in amplifier 2 and automatically recorded by the PC via the A/D converter.
3.2. Evaluation of residual wall thickness $rt$

Figure 6 shows the verification of the evaluation of the prediction model using the experimental signals. As shown in Figure 6(f), the model evaluates best, RMSE=0.497. This result is consistent with the experiment, which $B_{z_{\text{max1}}}$, $B_{r_{\text{min1}}}$, $\alpha_{\text{min1}}$, and $\beta_{\text{max1}}$ are the best predictors, the evaluated value of $rt$ is close to the true value.
4. Conclusion

This paper develops the inverse algorithms for evaluating the local wall thinning of carbon steel pipe based on Gaussian process regression. Through comparative analysis, the signal with high correlation coefficient with defect size is used as the predictor, and the evaluation effect of the model is better. The simulation results are basically consistent with the experimental results, indicating the correctness of the simulation, and have a strong guiding role for the actual pipeline inspection.
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