Applied Mathematics, Modeling and Computer Simulation C.-H. Chen et al. (Eds.) © 2023 The Authors. This article is published online with Open Access by IOS Press and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License 4.0 (CC BY-NC 4.0). doi:10.3233/ATDE230983

# A Snapback-Free Reverse-Conducting LIGBT with Embedded MOS Controlled Diode

 Haifeng QIN<sup>a,1</sup>, Lingxiang LI<sup>a</sup>, Wei LI<sup>a</sup>, Li WAN<sup>a</sup>, Zikai WEI<sup>b</sup>, Weizhong CHEN<sup>b</sup>
<sup>a</sup> China Resources Microelectronics (Chongqing) Ltd., Chongqing (401331), China
<sup>b</sup> College of Electronics Engineering, Chongqing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Chongqing (400065), China

Abstract. In this paper, a novel snapback-free reverse-conducting lateral insulated-gate bipolar transistor with embedded MOS controlled diode is proposed and investigated by the numerical TCAD Simulation. The proposed reverse conducting LIGBT features an embedded MOS controlled diode which provides reverse conduction path when the gate voltage of MOS controlled diode is greater than those of threshold voltage. The collector and floating ohmic contact are shorted by the PN junction above the MOS controlled diode gate oxide layer, which provides a current path for carriers in the forward-conducting and turn off modes. Compared with the Separated Shorted-Anode LIGBT (SSA LIGBT), the snapback voltage ( $\Delta V_{SB}$ ) of the proposed LIGBT is not only completely eliminated, but also decreases collector size. The simulation shows that the turn-off loss of the proposed LIGBT, under the same forward voltage drop ( $V_{on}$ ~1.38 V), reduces 66.4% and 44.2% for the SSA LIGBT and conventional LIGBT (CON LIGBT), respectively. In addition, the short-circuit withstand and reverse recovery capabilities are obviously improved by the MOS controlled diode.

Keywords. RC LIGBT; MOS controlled diode; trade-off  $V_{on}$ - $E_{off}$ ; short-circuit characteristic; reverse recovery

### 1. Introduction

The reverse conducting lateral insulated gate bipolar transistor (RC-LIGBT) not only has superior trade-off relationship between the on-state voltage drop ( $V_{on}$ ) and the turnoff energy loss ( $E_{off}$ ), but also achieves free-wheeling property, which integrates the LIGBT and a free-wheeling diode (FRD) [1-3]. Therefore, the RC-LIGBT is an extremely promising power device in the smart power integrated circuits and highpower integrated circuits [4-7]. Although the conventional shorted-anode (SA) LIGBT obtains the above abilities, it still exists the unwelcome snapback phenomena, which has seriously affected the application in the parallel operations [8]. In order to suppress and eliminate the snapback phenomena, and further enhance the reliability of the electrical circuit system, many novel structures and technologies are reported in recent years [9,10]. The snapback phenomena can be obviously mitigated by the separated SA (SSA) LIGBT which increases the unipolar on-state resistance for the electronic

<sup>1</sup> Corresponding Author, Haifeng Qin, China Resources Microelectronics (Chongqing) Ltd., Chongqing (401331), China; E-mail: 1531815309@qq.com.

current, but the trade-off  $V_{\text{on}}$ - $E_{\text{off}}$  and free-wheeling capabilities are undesirably deteriorated by the increasing length between N-buffer and N+ collector which reduces the wafer utilization rate [11].

A novel lateral insulated-gate bipolar transistor with embedded MOS controlled diode at the collector side is proposed in this article. The key simulation physics models consist of the EffecticeIntrinsicDensity, Mobility (HighFieldSaturation Enormal PhuMob DopingDependence), Recombination (SRH Auger Avalanche) in the Synopsys Sentaurus TCAD. The proposed LIGBT with embedded MOS controlled diode achieves superior trade-off between forward voltage drop ( $V_{on}$ ) and turn off loss ( $E_{off}$ ), as well as excellently short-circuit withstand and reverse recovery characteristics by embedding MOS controlled diode in the collector region.

### 2. The Device Structure

The previous conventional LIGBT (CON LIGBT) and SSA LIGBT [11] are compared to the proposed LIGBT with embedded MOS controlled diode by the Sentaurus TCAD simulation tools. Figure 1 demonstrates the cross-sectional view of schematic and equivalent circuit for the proposed LIGBT. Additionally, the N-drift doping concentration  $N_{drift}$ , length  $L_D$  and depth  $T_D$  of the structures are  $5.0 \times 10^{14}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, 50.0 µm and 5.0 µm, respectively. And the BOX thickness  $T_{BOX}$  of 2.0 µm, Gate oxide thickness  $T_{OX}$  of 0.05 µm, P-well doping concentration  $N_{P-well}$  of  $1.0 \times 10^{17}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, and Nbuffer doping concentration  $N_{buffer}$  of  $5.0 \times 10^{16}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> are the same for the three devices. What's more, a longer distance  $L_A$  between the N-buffer and the separated N+ collector is 30.0 µm for the SSA-LIGBT, which mainly suppresses the snapback effect. For the proposed LIGBT, the MOS controlled diode is embedded into the right side of collector, and the gate oxide thickness of the MOS controlled diode is 0.05 µm, which closely relates to the reverse conductive characteristic [12].



Figure 1. The cross-sectional view of schematic (a) and equivalent circuit (b) of the proposed LIGBT.

### 3. Insulator Image Pre-processing

Figure 2 shows the forward conduction characteristics of the former CON LIGBT, SSA LIGBT and proposed LIGBT at the same temperature (~300 K). The SSA LIGBT with the distance between the N-buffer and the N+ collector  $L_A$  of 30 µm has the unipolar operation mode when  $V_{CE}$  is less than 1.48 V, and the undesier snapback effect is occurred between unipolar conduction mode and bipolar conduction mode, which  $\Delta V_{SB}$  is 0.26 V. However, the CON LIGBT and proposed LIGBT practically operate the bipolar conductive mode, thus the snapback effect is commendably eliminated. In

addition, the  $V_{on}$  of the CON LIGBT, SSA LIGBT and proposed LIGBT are 1.36 V, 1.70 V and 1.58 V at the  $I_{CE}$  of 100 A/cm<sup>2</sup>, respective. The  $V_{on}$  of the proposed LIGBT is bigger than those of CON LIGBT due to MOS controlled diode decreasing holes injection efficiency of the collector, thus bipolar conductive characteristic is deteriorated, but the turn off and short-circuit withstand capacities are effectively enhanced as shown figures 3 and 5, respectively.



Figure 2. The forward IV characteristic of the CON LIGBT, SSA LIGBT and proposed LIGBT. The  $\Delta V_{SB}$  is the snapback voltage.

Figure 3 compares the turn-off characteristics of the proposed and others devices at the same on-state voltage drop  $V_{on}$  (~1.8 V), and the turn-off test circuit is also presented. The turn-off time are 530 ns, 520 ns, and 210 ns for the CON LIGBT, SSA LIGBT and proposed LIGBT, respectively. Additionally, the longest tail current is obtained by the CON LIGBT as shown figure 3, but the tail current of the proposed LIGBT is shorted by the MOS controlled diode which provides an electron current extraction path as shown figure 1.



**Figure 3.** The simulation turn-off characteristics for the CON LIGBT, SSA LIGBT and proposed LIGBT under the same inductive load circuit. The  $V_{bus}$ ,  $L_c$  and  $R_g$  are 300 V, 5  $\mu$ H and 10  $\Omega$ , respectively.

Figure 4 shows the trade-off relationship between the turn-off loss ( $E_{off}$ ) and onstate voltage drop ( $V_{on}$ ) of the three different structures at the same load current density (~100 A/cm<sup>2</sup>). The  $E_{off}$  of the proposed LIGBT, at the same  $V_{on}$  of 1.38 V, decreases by 66.4% and 44.2% in the comparison with the CON LIGBT and SSALIGBT, respectively. Hence, the proposed LIGBT with embedded MOS controlled diode offers a desirable  $V_{on}$ - $E_{off}$  trade-off relationship compared with the others.



Figure 4. The trade-off relationship between the  $E_{off}$  and  $V_{on}$  of the three different devices at the same load current density (100 A/cm<sup>2</sup>).

Figure 5 demonstrates the short-circuit withstand capabilities of the three different devices under the same test condition. The short-circuit withstand time are 5.468  $\mu$ s, 5.654  $\mu$ s and 6.818  $\mu$ s for the CON LIGBT, SSA LIGBT and proposed LIGBT, respectively. The desirable short-circuit characteristic, compared to the CON LIGBT and SSA LIGBT with a longer distance  $L_A$  (~30  $\mu$ m) between the N-buffer and the separated N+ collector, is directly obtained by the proposed LIGBT with the MOS controlled diode which the hole injection efficiency is effectively adjusted. When  $V_{CE}$  is bigger than 0.6 V, the PN junction above the above the MOS controlled diode gate oxide layer is opened, providing an electron current conductive path, and the hole injection efficiency of the P+ collector is suppressed, thus the short-circuit withstand capability of the proposed LIGBT will be significantly improved.



**Figure 5.** The short-circuit characteristics for the three different LIGBTS. The  $V_{CC}$ ,  $L_C$  and  $R_G$  are 300 V, 5 nH and 10  $\Omega$ , respectively.

Figure 6 compares reverse conductive characteristics for the conventional lateral PIN (CON LPIN), SSA LIGBT and proposed LIGBT at the same temperature (~300 K). The reverse conducting voltage drop ( $V_R$ ) are 0.89 V, 0.96 V and 1.65 V for the CON LPIN, SSA LIGBT and proposed LIGBT at the same  $I_R$  (~100 A/cm<sup>2</sup>), respectively. The biggest  $V_R$  is achieved by the proposed LIGBT due to connecting an MOS controlled diode in series through floating ohmic contact.



**Figure 6.** Simulation reverse conductive characteristics for the three different structures at the temperature 300 K.

Figure 7 shows the reverse recovery characteristics of the three-difference structure. The reverse recovery time ( $t_{rr}$ ) are 0.650 µs, 0.995 µs and 0.457 µs, the reverse recovery peak current ( $I_{RRM}$ ) are -302.589 A/cm<sup>2</sup>, -214.643 A/cm<sup>2</sup> and -219.694 A/cm<sup>2</sup>, and the reverse recovery charge ( $Q_{rr}$ ) are 101.117 µC/cm<sup>2</sup>, 89.934 µC/cm<sup>2</sup> and 55.372 µC/cm<sup>2</sup>. The SSA LIGBT achieves the biggest  $t_{rr}$  due to the longer distance  $L_A$  between the N-buffer and the separated N+ collector, but the least  $t_{rr}$  is gained by the proposed LIGBT. Moreover, the  $I_{RRM}$  of the proposed LIGBT and SSA LIGBT is lower than those of the CON LPIN, and the superior reverse recovery abilities can be achieved by the proposed LIGBT which introduces into the MOS controlled diode at the collector region.



**Figure 7.** The reverse recovery characteristics for the CON LPIN, SSA LIGBT and proposed LIGBT under the same load circuit. The  $V_{bus}$ ,  $L_s$ ,  $L_c$  and  $R_g$  are 300 V, 5 nH, 5  $\mu$ H and 10  $\Omega$ , respectively.

#### 4. Conclusion

A novel snapback-free Reverse-conducting lateral insulated-gate bipolar transistor with embedded MOS controlled diode is proposed and investigated in this article. The MOS controlled diode not only eliminates the snapback effect, but also achieves superior trade-off between  $V_{on}$  and  $E_{off}$ , as well as excellent reverse recovery characteristic, and enhances short circuit withstand capacity. Under the same conditions, the  $E_{off}$  of the proposed LIGBT reduces by 66.4 % and 44.2% compared to the CON LIGBT and SSA LIGBT, respectively.

## References

- [1] Zhang L, Zhu J, Sun W, et al. Novel Snapback-Free Reverse-Conducting SOI-LIGBT with Dual Embedded Diodes [J]. IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, 2017, 64(3): 1187-1192.
- [2] Sun L, Duan B, Yang Y. Novel Snapback-Free SOI LIGBT with Shorted Anode and Trench Barriers [J]. IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, 2021, 68(5): 2408-2413.
- [3] Li A, Li S, Zhang L, et al. A Novel Reverse Conducting SOI-LIGBT with Double Integrated NMOS for Enhanced Reverse Recovery [C]. 2019 IEEE 26th International Symposium on Physical and Failure Analysis of Integrated Circuits (IPFA), 2019: 1-4.
- [4] Xia Y, Chen W, Liu C, et al. An Ultralow Loss Reverse-Conducting LIGBT With Embedded P-P-N Diode in Oxide Trench [J]. IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, 2022, 69(12): 6956-6962.
- [5] Wei J, Zhu P, Yang K. et al. Novel Ultrafast Low-Loss LIGBT with Reverse-Conduction Capability [J]. IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, 2023, 70(5): 2622-2626.
- [6] Liu S, Zhang Y, Zhang Z, Inuishi M. A Novel Lateral Reverse Conducting Trench IGBT on SOI employing NPN bipolar with small area penalty and switching energy loss [C]. 2022 6th IEEE Electron Devices Technology & Manufacturing Conference (EDTM), 2022: 204-206.
- [7] Hu H, Kong M, Wu J, et al. A Novel Low Turn-Off Loss and Snapback-Free Reverse-Conducting SOI-LIGBT With Integrated Polysilicon Diodes [J]. IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, 2019, 66(10): 4296-4301.
- [8] Sin J, Mukherjee S. Lateral insulated-gate bipolar transistor (LIGBT) with a segmented anode structure [J]. IEEE Electron Device Lett., 1991, 12(2): 45-47.
- [9] Wu J, Huang H, Yi B, et al. A Snapback-Free and Low Turn-Off Loss Reverse-Conducting SOI-LIGBT With Embedded Diode and MOSFET [J]. IEEE Journal of the Electron Devices Society, 2019, 7(1): 1013-1017.
- [10] Wei Y, Wei J, Zhu P, et al. Low Loss Lateral Insulated Gate Bipolar Transistor with an Anode PNP Structure and Integrated Freewheeling Diode [C]. 2023 35th International Symposium on Power Semiconductor Devices and ICs (ISPSD), 2023: 262-265.
- [11] Chul J, Byeon D, Oh J, et al. A fast-switching SOI SA-LIGBT without NDR region [C]. 12th International Symposium on Power Semiconductor Devices & ICs Proceedings. 2000: 149-152.
- [12] Xu Z, Zhang B, Huang A. An analysis and experimental approach to MOS controlled diodes behaviour [J]. IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, 2000, 15(5): 916-922