Computational Models of Argument S. Modgil et al. (Eds.) © 2018 The authors and IOS Press. This article is published online with Open Access by IOS Press and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License 4.0 (CC BY-NC 4.0). doi:10.3233/978-1-61499-906-5-459

# Enumerating Preferred Extensions Using ASP Domain Heuristics: The ASPrMin Solver<sup>1</sup>

Wolfgang FABER <sup>a</sup>, Mauro VALLATI <sup>b</sup>, Federico CERUTTI <sup>c</sup> and Massimiliano GIACOMIN <sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Alpen-Adria-Universität Klagenfurt, Austria
<sup>b</sup> University of Huddersfield, UK
<sup>c</sup> Cardiff University, UK
<sup>d</sup> Università degli Studi di Brescia, Italy

**Abstract.** This paper briefly describes the solver ASPrMin, which enumerates preferred extensions and scored first in the Extension Enumeration problem—the only one implemented—of the Preferred Semantics Track of the Second International Competition on Computational Models of Argumentation, ICCMA17.

Keywords. argumentation, solver, ASP

## 1. Abstract Argumentation and Preferred Extensions

We recall some basic notions in abstract argumentation (cf. [2]).

An argumentation framework (AF) is a pair  $\Gamma = \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R} \rangle$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a set of arguments and  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ . We say that **b** attacks **a** iff  $\langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} \rangle \in \mathcal{R}$ , also denoted as  $\mathbf{b} \to \mathbf{a}$ . The set of attackers of an argument **a** will be denoted as  $\mathbf{a}^- \triangleq \{\mathbf{b} : \mathbf{b} \to \mathbf{a}\}$ , the set of arguments attacked by **a** will be denoted as  $\mathbf{a}^+ \triangleq \{\mathbf{b} : \mathbf{a} \to \mathbf{b}\}$ .

Given an  $AF \ \Gamma = \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R} \rangle$ : a set  $S \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  is a *conflict-free* set of  $\Gamma$  if  $\nexists \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in S$ s.t.  $\mathbf{a} \to \mathbf{b}$ ; an argument  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}$  is *acceptable* with respect to a set  $S \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  of  $\Gamma$  if  $\forall \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{A}$  s.t.  $\mathbf{b} \to \mathbf{a}, \exists \mathbf{c} \in S$  s.t.  $\mathbf{c} \to \mathbf{b}$ ; a set  $S \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  is an *admissible set* of  $\Gamma$  if Sis a conflict-free set of  $\Gamma$  and every element of S is acceptable with respect to Sof  $\Gamma$ . a set  $S \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  is a *preferred extension* of  $\Gamma$ , i.e.  $S \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathsf{PR}}(\Gamma)$ , if S is a maximal (w.r.t.  $\subseteq$ ) admissible set of  $\Gamma$ .

# 2. Implementation Using ASP Solver clingo

We use a straightforward and well-known encoding for admissible extensions, see [3,1]. Given an  $AF \Gamma = \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R} \rangle$ , for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  a fact arg(a). is created and for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://helios.hud.ac.uk/scommv/storage/ASPrMin-v1.0.tar.gz

each  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}$  a fact  $\mathtt{att}(a, b)$ . is created (this corresponds to the apx file format in the ICCMA competition). Together with the program

 $\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{in}(X):=\operatorname{not}\operatorname{out}(X),\operatorname{arg}(X). & \operatorname{out}(X):=\operatorname{not}\operatorname{in}(X),\operatorname{arg}(X). \\ \operatorname{defeated}(X):=\operatorname{in}(Y),\operatorname{att}(Y,X). \\ \operatorname{not\_defended}(X):=\operatorname{att}(Y,X),\operatorname{not}\operatorname{defeated}(Y). \\ :=\operatorname{in}(X),\operatorname{in}(Y),\operatorname{att}(X,Y). & :=\operatorname{in}(X),\operatorname{not\_defended}(X). \end{array}$ 

we form  $admasp_{\Gamma}$  and there is a one-to-one correspondence between answer sets of  $admasp_{\Gamma}$  and admissible extensions.

We can then exploit domain heuristics in the ASP solver clasp, a component of clingo [5]. Following [6,4], command line option --heuristic=Domain enables domain heuristics, and --dom-mod=3,16 applies modifier true to all atoms that are shown. Since we want to apply the modifier to all atoms with predicate in, we augment  $admasp_{\Gamma}$  by the line #show in/1. This means that the solver heuristics will prefer atoms with predicate in over all other atoms and will choose these atoms as being true first. This will find a subset maximal answer sets with respect to predicate in. The system clingo also allows for solution recording, see [4], by specifying command line option --enum-mod=domRec. Together with the domain heuristic, this will enumerate all subset maximal answer set with respect to predicate in.

ASPrMin essentially makes the following call and does some minor postprocessing using a shell script:

clingo  $admasp_{\Gamma}$  --heuristic=Domain --dom-mod=3,16 --enum-mod=domRec

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Stefan Woltran and Torsten Schaub for pointing us to this way of implementing subset maximality.

#### References

- Günther Charwat, Wolfgang Dvorák, Sarah A. Gaggl, Johannes P. Wallner, and Stefan Woltran. Methods for solving reasoning problems in abstract argumentation – A survey. *Artificial Intelligence*, 220:28–63, 2015.
- [2] Phan M Dung. On the Acceptability of Arguments and Its Fundamental Role in Nonmonotonic Reasoning, Logic Programming, and n-Person Games. 77(2):321–357, 1995.
- [3] Uwe Egly, Sarah Alice Gaggl, and Stefan Woltran. Answer-set programming encodings for argumentation frameworks. Argument & Computation, 1(2):147–177, 2010.
- [4] Martin Gebser, Roland Kaminski, Benjamin Kaufmann, Marius Lindauer, Max Ostrowski, Javier Romero, Torsten Schaub, and Sven Thiele. Potassco user guide. 2015.
- [5] Martin Gebser, Roland Kaminski, Benjamin Kaufmann, and Torsten Schaub. Clingo = ASP + control: Preliminary report. In Technical Communications of the Thirtieth International Conference on Logic Programming (ICLP 2014), 2014.
- [6] Martin Gebser, Benjamin Kaufmann, Javier Romero, Ramón Otero, Torsten Schaub, and Philipp Wanko. Domain-specific heuristics in answer set programming. In Marie desJardins and Michael L. Littman, editors, *Proceedings of AAAI2013*. AAAI Press, 2013.